



In view of the foregoing difference and to more clearly define the present device, Applicant has amended each of the independent claims 22, 23 and 32, to recite that each pack roll assembly includes the respective pack roll and a mechanism for moving and maintaining the pack roll in contact with the respective one core with the winding roll thereon being independently rotatable relative to the respective one core with the winding roll and relative to the other pack roll assembly.

Since the “pack roll assembly” of Belongia is not able to rotate independently from the other pack roll assembly as a result of both being directly and fixedly mounted to the turret, this newly added feature in each of independent claims 22, 23 and 32 is clearly not disclosed or contemplated by the Belongia device. Since at least one feature is lacking and is not suggested by the reference, the rejection of each of independent claims 22, 23 and 32 is improper and should be withdrawn. In fact, the opposite is true since Belongia teaches a rigid, fixed, non-rotatable arrangement for the “pack roll assembly”.

Reconsideration and allowance of independent claims 22, 23 and 32 are earnestly solicited.

Claims 2-21 should be allowed as depending from what should be an allowed independent claim 1.

Claims 24-31 should be allowed as depending from what should be an allowed independent claim 23.

Claims 33-34 should be allowed as depending from what should be an allowed independent claim 32.

Docket No.: 09625/000M642-US0

**Dated: November 28, 2005**

~~Respectfully submitted,~~

By \_\_\_\_\_

{W:\09625\000M642000\00590441.DOC [REDACTED]}